

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2005

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,848		11/05/2003	Bindu Rama Rao	14319US02	7791
23446	7590	05/05/2005		EXAM	INER
MCANDR 500 WEST 1		LD & MALLOY,	YIGDALL, MICHAEL J		
SUITE 3400		VSTREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 6066	1	2192	<u> </u>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/701,848	RAO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael J. Yigdall	2192				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	February 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1/					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.	·				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	• • •	·				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· ·	-				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form P10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the properties of the propert	•	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received				
See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies flot					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/12/04, 1/13/05.	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2192

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment and response filed on February 10, 2005 has been fully considered. Claims 1-22 are pending.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant contends that Lajoie does not teach "loader software that supports a plurality of loaders," as recited in claim 1 (Applicant's remarks, page 6, fifth paragraph).

However, the plain language of the claim merely recites, "loader software that supports a plurality of loaders." Applicant states that the functions performed by the firmware integrity checker (FIC) disclosed by Lajoie do not constitute loader software, but Applicant does not provide any further explanation. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

The firmware integrity checker of Lajoie functions as loader software because, as Applicant acknowledges, the FIC is the first code to run when control is transferred from the boot ROM, and the FIC transfers control to the application program (Applicant's remarks, page 6, fifth paragraph). Software that transfers control to another program, which is to say software that "loads" another program, is "loader software" per se. The software "supports a plurality of loaders" because it supports loading a plurality of programs. Specifically, the FIC loads the application program or it loads the upgrade program, as disclosed by Lajoie (see, for example,

paragraph 0039, lines 5-9). Therefore, Lajoie teaches "loader software that supports a plurality of loaders," as recited in claim 1.

Applicant further contends that Lajoie does not teach "storing a location in the file system of the saved information for updating firmware to a memory reference," as recited in claim 16 (Applicant's remarks, page 7, second paragraph).

However, Lajoie discloses a firmware header that holds data on the application program and on the state of the upgrade program (see, for example, paragraph 0029, lines 1-4). The firmware header is a memory reference (see, for example, FIG. 3) that stores locations in the file system, such as the "application end page" location and the "client end page" location (see, for example, paragraph 0029, Table 1). Although Applicant states that the firmware header does not hold data associated with the downloaded and saved information (Applicant's remarks, page 7, second paragraph), Lajoie expressly discloses downloading and saving information for upgrading the firmware (see, for example, paragraph 0034, lines 1-4), and subsequently updating the firmware header based on that information (see, for example, paragraph 0034, lines 4-8). In fact, the "client end page" location in the firmware header is a location in the file system of the upgrade program (see, for example, paragraph 0029, Table 1). Therefore, Lajoie teaches "storing a location in the file system of the saved information for updating firmware to a memory reference," as recited in claim 16.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2192

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-6, 8, 9, 11-14 and 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0015952 to Lajoie et al. (art of record, "Lajoie").

With respect to claim 1 (original), Lajoie discloses a system that facilitates updating of firmware in an electronic device with a file system (see, for example, the abstract, and the file system illustrated within non-volatile memory 210 in FIG. 2), the system comprising:

an electronic device (see, for example, the electronic device illustrated in FIG. 2) comprising:

- (a) at least one of volatile and non-volatile memory (see, for example, non-volatile memory 210 and RAM or volatile memory 280 in FIG. 2);
- (b) loader software that supports a plurality of loaders (see, for example, firmware integrity checker 350 in FIG. 3; paragraph 0038, lines 1-10, which shows that the firmware integrity checker functions as loader software; and paragraph 0039, lines 5-9, which shows that the firmware integrity checker supports transferring control to or loading both the application program and the upgrade program);
- (c) update software that supports retrieving information for updating firmware in the electronic device (see, for example, upgrade program 320 in FIG. 3, and paragraph 0031, lines 1-4, which shows that the upgrade program retrieves information for updating the firmware); and

Art Unit: 2192

(d) communication software that administers communicating the information for updating firmware from a server (see, for example, communication protocol stack 330 in FIG. 3, and paragraph 0033, lines 16-18, which shows communicating with a server).

With respect to claim 2 (currently amended), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein the system further comprises a driver software that communicates, to the update software, information about the retrieved information for updating firmware in the electronic device (see, for example, paragraph 0033, lines 11-16 and paragraph 0042, lines 1-4, which show that the application program functions as driver software to transfer requests to the upgrade program, i.e. to communicate information to the upgrade program regarding the information for updating the firmware).

With respect to claim 3 (original), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein the server is an external system (see, for example, upgrade server 110 in FIG. 1).

With respect to claim 4 (original), Lajoie further disclose the limitation wherein the server is a local file system (see, for example, paragraph 0031, lines 20-23, which shows upgrading the firmware locally).

With respect to claim 5 (original), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein the update software comprises:

(a) loading software that retrieves updating information from the server (see, for example, paragraph 0031, lines 1-4, which shows retrieving information from the server for updating the firmware);

- (b) updating software that applies the retrieved information for updating firmware in the electronic device (see, for example, paragraph 0034, lines 1-4, which shows applying the information and thereby updating the firmware);
- (c) security software that supports secure communication between the server and the electronic device (see, for example, paragraph 0041, lines 5-9, which shows encryption means for supporting secure communication);
- (d) setting software that sets values of data to indicate information about the information for updating firmware (see, for example, paragraph 0034, lines 4-8, which shows setting values in a header to indicate information about the information for updating the firmware); and
- (e) memory management software that manages accessing and manipulating information in the memory (see, for example, paragraph 0026, lines 12-14, which shows a library of memory management functions for accessing and manipulating information in the memory).

With respect to claim 6 (original), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein the update software further comprises a reference comprising at least one parameter related to the information for updating firmware (see, for example, paragraph 0029, lines 1-4 and Table 1, which show parameters in the header related to the information for updating the firmware).

With respect to claim 8 (original), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein the at least one parameter comprises an address referencing the information for updating firmware (see, for example, paragraph 0029, Table 1, which shows an application end page parameter that references the information for updating the firmware, and paragraph 0028, lines 1-13, which shows that the page numbers correspond to addresses in the memory).

Page 7

Art Unit: 2192

With respect to claim 9 (original), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein the at least one parameter comprises an address referencing a backup section (see, for example, paragraph 0029, Table 1, which shows a last page parameter; paragraph 0044, lines 10-14, which shows that the last page parameter is a backup means for recovering from a communication failure; and paragraph 0028, lines 1-13, which shows that the page numbers correspond to addresses in the memory).

With respect to claim 11 (original), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein the security software controls information in the electronic device, wherein the information indicates whether the firmware in the electronic device needs updating (see, for example, paragraph 0038, lines 1-10 and paragraph 0039, lines 5-9, which shows the firmware integrity checker serving as security software for indicating whether the firmware needs to be updated).

With respect to claim 12 (original), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein the security software utilizes the setting software to set the value of the at least one parameter (see, for example, paragraph 0038, lines 11-15, which shows storing or setting the client state parameter in the header).

With respect to claim 13 (original), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein the updating software uses the reference to determine whether the firmware in the electronic device needs updating (see, for example, the flowchart illustrated in FIG. 5, which shows using the client state reference to determine whether the firmware needs to be updated).

With respect to claim 14 (original), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein the updating software uses the reference to determine the location of the information for updating firmware (see, for example, paragraph 0034, lines 4-8, which shows using the header to determine the length or location of the information for updating the firmware).

Page 8

With respect to claim 16 (original), Lajoie discloses a method for updating firmware in an electronic device with a file system (see, for example, the abstract, and the file system illustrated within non-volatile memory 210 in FIG. 2), the method comprising:

- (a) downloading information for updating firmware in the electronic device from a server (see, for example, paragraph 0034, lines 1-4, which shows downloading information from a server for updating the firmware);
- (b) saving the downloaded information for updating firmware in the file system (see, for example, paragraph 0034, lines 1-4, which shows saving the information in an area of the file system);
- (c) storing a location in the file system of the saved information for updating firmware to a memory reference (see, for example, paragraph 0034, lines 4-8, which shows storing a header comprising a location of the saved information); and
- (d) determining whether the firmware needs to be updated when the electronic device reboots (see, for example, the flowchart illustrated in FIG. 5, which shows determining whether the firmware needs to be updated when the device is reset or reboots).

With respect to claim 17 (original), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein, if it is determined that the firmware does not need updating, the method further comprises a normal

Art Unit: 2192

start up of the electronic device (see, for example, the flowchart illustrated in FIG. 5, which shows switching to the application, i.e. starting the device normally, if the firmware does not need to be updated).

With respect to claim 18 (original), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein, if it is determined that the firmware does need updating, the method further comprises:

- (a) retrieving the reference to the information for updating firmware from the memory (see, for example, paragraph 0034, lines 4-8, which shows retrieving from memory the length or reference to the information for updating the firmware); and
- (b) updating the firmware using the information for updating firmware (see, for example, paragraph 0034, lines 1-4, which shows updating the firmware with the information).

With respect to claim 19 (original), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein the method further comprises communicating a confirmation of the updating of the firmware to the server (see, for example, paragraph 0043, lines 1-7 and Table 4, which show communicating a confirmation to the server of updating the firmware).

With respect to claim 20 (original), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein the method further comprises testing the updated firmware for errors (see, for example, paragraph 0031, lines 8-14, which shows testing the updated firmware for errors).

With respect to claim 21 (original), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein the method further comprises communicating any errors found to the server (see, for example,

paragraph 0031, lines 17-20, which shows communicating a message to the server if errors are found).

With respect to claim 22 (original), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein the electronic device retrieves status information from the information for updating firmware via the memory reference to determine whether the firmware needs to be updated using the information for updating firmware (see, for example, the flowchart illustrated in FIG. 5, which shows determining from the client state, i.e. status information, whether the firmware needs to be updated).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 7, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lajoie, as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, respectively.

With respect to claim 7 (original), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein the at least one parameter comprises a state flag (see, for example, paragraph 0029, lines 1-4 and Table 1, which show a 1-byte client state variable or flag).

Although the state flag taught by Lajoie has a size of 1 byte, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the flag with a

size of 4 bytes, as recited in the claim. It is well known in the art that 1 byte of information, such as the state flag of Lajoie, can be equivalently represented within a 4-byte space.

With respect to claim 10 (original), Lajoie further discloses the limitation wherein the at least one parameter comprises a CRC value (see, for example, paragraph 0039, lines 2-5, which shows a CRC-16 value).

Although the CRC value taught by Lajoie has a size of 16 bits or 2 bytes, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement a 4-byte CRC value, as recited in the claim. It is well known in the art that a 4-byte CRC value can be used to provide greater error detection reliability than a 2-byte CRC value.

With respect to claim 15 (original), although Lajoie discloses downloading information from the server for updating the firmware (see, for example, paragraph 0034, lines 1-4), Lajoie does not expressly disclose the limitation wherein the update software utilizes a uniform resource locator (URL) to download information for updating firmware from the server.

However, Lajoie further discloses that the server downloads the information for updating the firmware from a firmware provider over an Internet connection (see, for example, paragraph 0022, lines 5-7 and paragraph 0023, lines 1-5). It is well known in the art that an addressing or locating means, such as a uniform resource locator, is necessary in order to download such information over an Internet connection.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the update software taught by Lajoie to download the information

Art Unit: 2192

for updating the firmware by way of an Internet connection, as also taught by Lajoie, and accordingly, to use a URL in order to locate the resources to download.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Yigdall whose telephone number is (571) 272-3707. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (571) 272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2192

Page 13

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ΜΥ

Michael J. Yigdall Examiner Art Unit 2192

mjy

WEIY. ZHEN RIMARY EXAMMER